

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/814,315	EARLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KUEN S. LU	2169	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KUEN S. LU. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. T.J. Clark (Registration Number 54,039). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 05 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to amend claim 28 by incorporating the cancelling claim 29, and to apply similar amendment/cancellation to medium and system claims. Examiner agreed to conduct an update search on prior art and, pending search result, issue notice of allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kuen S Lu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2169	
--	--